

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RACHEL CONDRY, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITEDHEALTH GROUP, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 17-cv-00183-VC

**ORDER RE ARGUMENT ON CROSS-
MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 100, 117

At tomorrow's hearing, the parties should be prepared to discuss at least the following issues:

1. What relief is being sought by each plaintiff in this case? If the plaintiffs are seeking prospective injunctive relief, do they have standing to do so?

2. It appears from the record that Rachel Carroll could not have accessed an in-network lactation consultant without switching pediatricians. Defs. Ex. G-5 at 89:7-90:20. Are there other plaintiffs whose only means for accessing lactation consultants was to switch to a new pediatrician? Would the UnitedHealthcare defendants meet their obligation to provide sufficient in-network coverage for comprehensive lactation support and counseling to justify refusal to reimburse for out-of-network care if a breastfeeding mother could only access the in-network coverage by switching pediatricians?

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 25, 2018



VINCE CHHABRIA
United States District Judge