

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WICHITA FALLS DIVISION**

**STATE OF TEXAS et al.,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA et al.,**

**Defendants.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**Civil Action No. 7:15-cv-00151-O**

**ORDER**

Before the Court are Defendants’ Motion for Extension of Time and to Modify Scheduling Order (ECF No. 59), filed May 4, 2017; and Plaintiffs’ Response (ECF No. 60), filed May 5, 2017. Defendants request a third extension of time to file their Motion for Summary Judgment and Response to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary Judgment “in light of recent and possible legislative developments.” Defs.’ Mot. 1, ECF No. 59. Plaintiffs urge the Court to deny the extension, arguing the legislative developments cited by Defendants are too speculative to establish good cause and, even if passed, would not moot the case or relieve their alleged injury. Pls.’ Resp. 1, ECF No. 60. The Court finds that because the challenged program has been suspended for 2017, Plaintiffs would suffer no prejudice from an additional extension. Having considered Defendants’ Motion (ECF No. 59), the Court finds that it in the interest of judicial efficiency it should be and is hereby **GRANTED**. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The deadline for Defendants to submit Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment and Response to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary Judgment is extended from May 5, 2017 to **June 5, 2017**;

2. The deadline for Plaintiffs to submit Plaintiffs’ Reply in Support of Summary

Judgment and Response to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment is extended to **June 26, 2017**;

3. The deadline for Defendants to submit Defendants' Reply in Support of Summary Judgment is extended to **July 13, 2017**; and

4. The Expert Objection Deadline is extended to **July 13, 2017**.

**SO ORDERED** on this **15th day** of **May, 2017**.

  
Reed O'Connor  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE