

1 XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
2 JULIE WENG-GUTIERREZ
Senior Assistant Attorney General
3 GREGORY D. BROWN, SBN 219209
NIMROD P. ELIAS, SBN 251634
4 Deputy Attorneys General
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
5 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
Telephone: (415) 703-5841
6 Fax: (415) 703-5480
E-mail: Nimrod.Elias@doj.ca.gov
7 *Attorneys for Plaintiff the State of California*

8
9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 **THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA; THE**
12 **STATE OF CONNECTICUT; THE STATE**
13 **OF DELAWARE; THE DISTRICT OF**
14 **COLUMBIA; THE STATE OF ILLINOIS;**
15 **THE STATE OF IOWA; THE**
16 **COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY;**
17 **THE STATE OF MARYLAND; THE**
18 **COMMONWEALTH OF**
19 **MASSACHUSETTS; THE STATE OF**
20 **MINNESOTA; THE STATE OF NEW**
21 **MEXICO; THE STATE OF NEW YORK;**
22 **THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA; THE**
23 **STATE OF OREGON; THE**
24 **COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA;**
25 **THE STATE OF RHODE ISLAND; THE**
26 **STATE OF VERMONT; THE**
27 **COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA; and**
28 **THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, President of the United
States; ERIC D. HARGAN, Acting Secretary
of the United States Department of Health
and Human Services; UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES; STEVEN T.
MNUCHIN, Secretary of the United States
Department of the Treasury; UNITED
STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE
TREASURY; and DOES 1-20,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-05895-KAW

DECLARATION OF CATRINA REYES,
ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR, CENTER FOR
HEALTH POLICY, CALIFORNIA
MEDICAL ASSOCIATION ISO
PLAINTIFFS' APPLICATION FOR A
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY A
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION SHOULD
NOT ISSUE

1 I, Catrina Reyes, declare:

2 1. I am an Associate Director for the Center for Health Policy with the
3 California Medical Association (CMA). I am licensed to practice law in California and I
4 have an M.P.A. in which my thesis was on implementation theories and the effective
5 implementation of the Affordable Care Act. As an Associate Director for the Center for
6 Health Policy, I am responsible for overseeing and implementing CMA's advocacy and
7 policy efforts relating to California's state-based exchange and the cost sharing reduction
8 (CSR) payments to health insurers that help low-income Americans afford health
9 insurance. The facts stated herein are of my own personal knowledge, and I could and
10 would competently testify to them.

11 2. CMA is a non-profit, incorporated professional physician association of
12 approximately 45,000 members throughout the State of California. CMA's primary
13 purposes are "to promote the science and art of medicine, the care and well-being of
14 patients, the protection of public health, and the betterment of the medical profession."
15 CMA's membership includes California physicians engaged in the private practice of
16 medicine in all specialties and settings. Many CMA physicians provide care to patients
17 who have health coverage through Covered California, commercial insurers and health
18 plans, and public coverage such as Medicare and Medi-Cal. CMA has formed committees
19 and subcommittees within its governance structure to investigate, research, and address
20 health insurer benefits, policies, and practices and their impact on physicians and patients'
21 access to health care.

22 3. As of February 2017, 48 percent of the 1.4 million individuals enrolled in
23 Covered California received cost-sharing assistance to reduce out-of-pocket costs, such as
24 copayments and deductibles.

25 4. The CMA has urged Congress to continue funding the insurance CSR
26 subsidies and has advocated that if CSR is not continued it would negatively impact health
27 insurance premiums, costs, and patients' access to timely care. A Covered California
28 study found that eliminating federal funding for CSR payments would raise premiums for

1 Silver plan consumers by 16.6 percent in 2018, requiring patients to receive additional
2 Advanced Premium Tax Credits (APTC) in order to afford coverage, switch to lower
3 tiered plans with higher cost-sharing, or forgo health care coverage altogether.

4 5. The APTC is calculated based on the price of the second-lowest Silver plan
5 in each area in the Exchange. Therefore, an increase in Silver premiums will result in an
6 increase in the APTC. A Kaiser Family Foundation study estimates that the increased
7 cost to the federal government of higher premium tax credits would actually be 23 percent
8 more than savings from eliminating cost-sharing reduction payments. For fiscal year
9 2018, that would result in a net increase in federal costs of \$2.3 billion.

10 6. Physician experience and studies demonstrates that imposing higher out-of-
11 pocket costs on patients results in patients delaying or skipping recommended medical
12 tests, prescription medication and treatment. Covered California reports that enrollees not
13 eligible for additional tax credits will respond to the higher premiums by switching from
14 Silver plans to lower tiered plans with higher cost-sharing or forgo health care coverage.
15 Higher out-of-pockets costs often result in patients avoiding necessary medical care until
16 their conditions worsen, requiring more expensive intensive care for an exacerbated
17 medical condition. Illnesses and injuries that could have been prevented or treated with
18 early intervention become life-threatening and more costly to treat. This increases overall
19 health care costs.

20 7. Physicians' experience with patient care and high cost-sharing health
21 insurance are substantiated by studies and data. A March 2017 Kaiser Family Foundation
22 national public Health Care tracking poll found that Americans have delayed or skipped
23 care due to costs in the past year, including 27 percent of who say they have put off or
24 postponed getting health care they needed, 23 percent who say they have skipped a
25 recommended medical test or treatment, and 21 percent who say they have not filled a
26 prescription for a medicine. An October 2017 study published in *Health Affairs (October*
27 *2017 vol. 36 no. 10 1762-1768)*, "High-Deductible Health Plans (HDHPs) Reduce Cost
28 and Utilization, Including Use of Needed Preventive Services," concluded that current

1 evidence suggests that HDHPs are associated with lower health care costs as a result of a
2 reduction in the use of medically necessary health care. The authors performed an
3 extensive review of rigorous studies that examined the impact of HDHPs on health care
4 utilization and costs. The authors report that HDHPs were associated with a significant
5 reduction in preventive care in seven of twelve studies and a significant reduction in office
6 visits in six of eleven studies—which in turn led to a reduction in both appropriate and
7 inappropriate care.

8 8. Further evidence demonstrates that the cost-sharing reduction program has
9 broken down cost barriers for patients who need care. The California Health Care
10 Foundation reported in December 2016 that two years after the Affordable Care Act was
11 implemented out-of-pocket costs were less likely to be the reason people went without
12 needed health care. CHCF reported, “While cost remains a barrier to health care access,
13 some important progress was made in 2015: Among those who reported foregoing
14 necessary care, the share who reported that they did so because of cost declined from
15 55.4% to 49.1% between 2013 and 2015.” (ACA 411: Tracking Health Reform in
16 California: Two Years After ACA Implementation: Coverage Gains Continued and
17 Fewer Affordability Concerns Cited, December 15, 2016)

18 9. Patients unable to meet the increased cost-sharing amounts or more patients
19 without health insurance coverage will result in physicians experiencing more
20 uncompensated care, which further strains their ability to meet the health care needs of
21 their communities. An increase in uncompensated care will exacerbate access to care
22 challenges particularly in the rural and central valley regions of California where a
23 substantial number of residents are already uninsured or enrolled in the Medi-Cal
24 program. With the low Medi-Cal physician payment rates and largely uncompensated care
25 for the uninsured it is difficult to attract and retain physicians, and therefore, these regions
26 suffer from physician shortages and access to care challenges.

27 10. The instability in the marketplace resulting from the elimination of the CSR
28 subsidy could lead to health plans exiting the Exchanges resulting in fewer consumer

1 choices, less competition, and higher prices. Anthem has already withdrawn from all but
2 three of Covered California’s rating areas. Anthem reasoned that “the market for these
3 plans has become unstable. And with federal rules and guidance changing, it’s no longer
4 possible for us to offer some of those plans.”

5 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State
6 of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

7 Executed on this 16th day of October 2017, at Sacramento, California.

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



Catrina Reyes