Case: 19-17213, 11/22/2019, ID: 11509908, DktEntry: 18, Page 1 of 25

No. 19-17213

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

V.

UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES, a federal agency, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, a federal agency, KEVIN K. MCALEENAN, in his official capacity as Acting Secretary of the United States Department of Homeland Security, KENNETH T. CUCCINELLI, in his official capacity as Acting Director of United States Citizenship and Immigration Services,

Defendants-Appellants.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California Case No. 4:19-cv-04717-PJH Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton, Chief District Judge

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE NATIONAL HOUSING LAW PROJECT, FOOD RESEARCH & ACTION CENTER, AND THE CENTER FOR LAW & SOCIAL POLICY IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS-APPELLEES AND IN OPPOSITION TO A STAY PENDING APPEAL

(Counsel Information listed on back page)

Case: 19-17213, 11/22/2019, ID: 11509908, DktEntry: 18, Page 2 of 25

KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP

R. ADAM LAURIDSEN (SB # 243780)

CHESSIE THACHER (SB # 296767)

VICTOR H. YU (SB # 325411)

NICHOLAS R. GREEN (SB # 323959)

633 Battery Street

San Francisco, CA 94111-1809

Email: <u>alauridsen@keker.com</u> Email: <u>cthacher@keker.com</u>

Email: vyu@keker.com
Email: ngreen@keker.com
telephone: 415 391 5400

Facsimile: 415 397 7188

Counsel for *Amici Curiae* National Housing Law Project, Food Research & Action Center, and The Center For Law & Social Policy

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
STAT	TEMENT OF INTEREST
RULI	E 29(A)(4)(E) STATEMENT
SUM	MARY OF THE ARGUMENT
ARG	UMENT3
I.	HARMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE LOSS OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING. 3
II.	HARMS RELATED TO THE LOSS OF NUTRITION BENEFITS 6
III.	HEALTHCARE-RELATED HARMS8
IV.	THE PUBLIC CHARGE RULE WILL EXERT A CHILLING EFFECT 11
CON	CLUSION14
CERT	ΓIFICATION PURSUANT TO FED. R. APP. P. 3215
CERT	ΓΙFICATE OF SERVICE16

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s
Federal Statutes
7 U.S.C. § 2011 et seq
42 U.S.C. § 1437 et seq.
Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliations Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, 110 Stat. 2105 (1996)
Regulations
24 C.F.R. pt. 5
Inadmissibility on Public Charge Grounds, 84 Fed. Reg. 41,292 (Aug. 14, 2019)
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking: Inadmissibility on Public Charge Grounds, 83 Fed. Reg. at 51,266–67 (Oct. 10, 2018)
Other Authorities
Alicia Mazzara & Barbara Sard, Chart Book: Employment and Earnings for Households Receiving Federal Rental Assistance, Ctr. on Budget & Policy Priorities (Feb. 5, 2018), https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/atoms/files/2-5-18hous-chartbook.pdf.
Alisa Chester & Joan Alker, <i>Medicaid at 50: A Look at the Long-Term Benefits of Childhood Medicaid</i> , Georgetown Univ. Health Policy Institute Ctr. for Children & Families (July 27, 2015), https://ccf.georgetown.edu/2015/07/27/medicaid-50-looklong-term-benefits-childhood-medicaid/

Allison Bovell-Ammon et al., <i>Trends in Food Insecurity and SNAP Participation among Immigrant Families U.SBorn Young Children</i> , Children (2019), https://childrenshealthwatch.org/trends-in-food-insecurity-and-snap-participation-among-immigrant-families-u-s-born-young-children/	11
Characteristics of Supplemental Assistance Program Households: Fiscal Year 2017, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture (Feb. 2019), https://fns- prod.azureedge.net/sites/default/files/resource- files/Characteristics2017.pdf	12
Edward Vargas, Immigration enforcement and mixed-status families: The effects of risk of deportation on Medicaid use, Children and Youth Services Review (2015), https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S019074 0915300177	13
The Gap: A Shortage of Affordable Homes, Nat'l Low Income Housing Coal. (Mar. 2019), https://reports.nlihc.org/sites/default/files/gap/Gap- Report_2019.pdf	4
Hamutal Bernstein et al., One in Seven Adults in Immigrant Families Reported Avoiding Public Benefit Programs in 2018, Urban Inst. (2019), https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/1002 70/one_in_seven_adults_in_immigrant_families_reported_avoiding_publi_7.pdf	7, 11
Jennifer Henderson, NYC Health & Hospitals Projects \$362M Loss From Trump-proposed Changes to Public Charge Rule, Modern Healthcare (Dec. 06, 2018), https://www.modernhealthcare.com/article/20181206/NE WS/181209959/nyc-health-hospitals-projects-362m-loss- from-trump-proposed-changes-to-public-charge-rule	9

Katherine Gigliotti, Food Stamp Access for Immigrants: How States Have Implemented the 2002 Farm Bill Restorations, National Conference of State Legislatures (2004), https://www.ncsl.org/print/immig/immigrantandfoodstam	
ps1004.pdf	8
Leah Zallman et al., Implications of Changing Public Charge Immigrant Rules for Children Who Need Medical Care, 173 JAMA Pediatrics 1 (2019)	. 12
Mark R. Rank & Thomas A. Hirschl, <i>Estimating the Risk of Food Stamp Use and Impoverishment During Childhood</i> , 163 Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Med. 994 (2009)	6
Mark R. Rank & Thomas A. Hirschl, <i>Likelihood of Using</i> Food Stamps During the Adulthood Years, 37 J. of Nutrition Educ. & Behavior 137 (2005)	6
McCoy-Roth et al., When the Bough Breaks: The Effects of Homelessness on Young Children, Child Trends: Early Childhood Highlights (2012), https://www.academia.edu/10438892/When_the_Bough_B reaks_The_Effects_of_Homelessness_on_Young_Children	5
Namratha Kandula et al., The Unintended Impact of Welfare Reform on the Medicaid Enrollment of Eligible Immigrants, Health Services Research (October 2004), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1361081/	. 13
National Low Income Housing Coalition, 2019 Advocates' Guide: A Primer on Affordable Housing & Community Development Programs, https://nlihc.org/sites/default/files/AG-2019/Advocates- Guide 2019.pdf	3

NYC Dep't of Social Services, Fact Sheet: SNAP Enrollment Trends in New York City (June 2019), https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/immigrants/downloads/pdf/Fact-Sheet-June-2019.pdf	11
Ohio Medicaid Group VIII Assessment: A Report to the Ohio General Assembly, Ohio Dep't of Medicaid (2017), https://medicaid.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Resources/Reports/Ann ual/Group-VIII-Assessment.pdf	9
Only Wealthy Immigrants Need Apply: How a Trump Rule's Chilling Effect will Harm the U.S., Fiscal Policy Institute (Oct. 10, 2018), http://fiscalpolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/US-Impact-of-Public-Charge.pdf	12, 13
Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness, U.S. Interagency Council on Homelessness (2015), https://www.usich.gov/resources/uploads/asset_library/US ICH_OpeningDoors_Amendment2015_FINAL.pdf	5
Out of Reach 2019, Nat'l Low Income Housing Coal. (2019), https://reports.nlihc.org/sites/default/files/oor/OOR_2019.p	4
Policy Basics: The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), Center on Budget and Policy Priorities (June 25, 2019), https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/atoms/files/policybasics-foodstamps.pdf.	6
Rachel Garfield et al., <i>Understanding the Intersection of Medicaid and Work</i> , Kaiser Family Found. (Aug. 8, 2018), https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/understanding-the-intersection-of-medicaid-and-work-what-does-the-data-say	9

Renee M. Gindi, Ph.D. et al., Emergency Room Use Among Adults Aged 18–64: Early Release of Estimates From the National Health Interview Survey, January–June 2011, Ctrs. for Disease Control (May 2012), https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/emergenc y_room_use_january-june_2011.pdf	10
Richard Lindrooth et al., <i>Understanding The Relationship</i> Between Medicaid Expansions and Hospital Closures, Health Affairs (2018), https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/full/10.1377/hlthaff.2017 .0976	9
Robin M. Weinick et al., Many Emergency Department Visits Could Be Managed at Urgent Care Centers and Retail Clinics, 29 Health Affairs 1630 (2010), https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/pdf/10.1377/hlthaff.2009 .0748	10
Samantha Artiga et al., Estimated Impacts of the Proposed Public Charge Rule on Immigrants and Medicaid, Kaiser Family Found., (Oct. 2018), http://files.kff.org/attachment/Issue-Brief-Estimated-Impacts-of-the-Proposed-Public-Charge-Rule-on-Immigrants-and-Medicaid.	12
Sara Rosenbaum et al., Community Health Center Financing: The Role of Medicaid and Section 330 Grant Funding Explained, Kaiser Family Found., (Mar. 2, 2018), https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/community-health-center-financing-the-role-of-medicaid-and-section-330-grant-funding-explained/	9
U.S. Federal Rental Assistance Fact Sheet, Ctr. on Budget & Policy Priorities (May 14, 2019), https://apps.cbpp.org/4-3-19hous-factsheet-us.pdf	1

Wendy Zimmerman & Karen C. Tumlin, <i>Patchwork Policies:</i>	
State Assistance for Immigrants under Welfare Reform,	
Urban Inst. (1999),	
http://webarchive.urban.org/UploadedPDF/occ24.pdf	8
Will Fischer, Research Shows Housing Vouchers Reduce	
Hardship and Provide Platform for Long Term Gains	
Among Children, Ctr. on Budget & Policy Priorities (Oct.	
7, 2015),	
https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/atoms/files/3-10-	
14hous.pdf	5

STATEMENT OF INTEREST

The principal Amici are three leading non-profit organizations: National Housing Law Project ("NHLP"), Food Research & Action Center ("FRAC"), and the Center for Law and Social Policy ("CLASP").

Amici's proposed brief describes how the housing, nutrition, and healthcare public benefit programs encompassed by the recently revised Public Charge Rule operate, why these programs are so important, and the Rule's negative impacts. By discouraging enrollment in these critical assistance programs, the regulation will not just harm individual immigrants, but also communities, economies, and local and state governments across the United States, like the Appellees here.

Moreover, if the Rule is allowed to take effect its impacts will be magnified because of its "chilling effect" on people not directly impacted by the Rule but who decline the benefits to which they are entitled. The ramifications of the government's requested stay thus extend far beyond the individual parties in this litigation. Amici, as subject-matter experts on public benefits, are uniquely positioned to aid the Court in assessing the balance of equities.

All parties consent to the filing of this brief.

RULE 29(a)(4)(E) STATEMENT

No party's counsel authored this brief, in whole or in part. No party, party's counsel, or other person contributed money that was intended to fund its preparation or submission.

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

The Trump Administration's radical expansion of the Public Charge Rule¹ inflicts harms against both immigrants *and* their communities, including state and local governments like Appellees. The Rule's impacts are further magnified by a "chilling effect," whereby persons not directly impacted by the Rule also decline the benefits to which they are entitled. The cascading damage will be felt by all Americans, and the equities strongly favor denying the government's request for a stay of the district court's injunction.

ARGUMENT

I. HARMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE LOSS OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

Established by the United States Housing Act in 1937,² the Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") oversees numerous critical programs, including Public Housing, Section 8 Housing Assistance under the Housing Choice Voucher Program, and Section 8 Project-Based Rental Assistance.³ These

¹ Inadmissibility on Public Charge Grounds, 84 Fed. Reg. 41,292 (Aug. 14, 2019) (to be codified at 8 C.F.R. pts. 103, 212–14, 245, 248).

² See 42 U.S.C. § 1437 et seq.; 24 C.F.R. pt. 5.

³ See generally National Low Income Housing Coalition, 2019 Advocates' Guide: A Primer on Affordable Housing & Community Development Programs, [hereinafter "2019 Advocates' Guide"], https://nlihc.org/sites/default/files/AG-2019/Advocates-Guide_2019.pdf.

programs serve approximately 4.5 million households, at least 281,300 of which include a non-citizen.

The Rule expands the definition of public charge to encompass families receiving this assistance—including some families who earn close to, or even more than, the median income, but still qualify for HUD subsidies because they live in areas with an expensive housing market.

The typical working household receiving this assistance is a family with two school-age children and a parent who works at a job that does not pay enough to cover the market rent for a modest apartment.⁴ In 90% of U.S. counties, a person working full time and earning the average renter's wage cannot afford a modest two-bedroom rental home at fair market rent. And in 59% of U.S. counties, the same worker cannot afford a modest one-bedroom apartment.⁵ Nationwide, 71% of low-income renters spend more than 50% of their income on rent and utilities.⁶

⁴ See Alicia Mazzara & Barbara Sard, Chart Book: Employment and Earnings for Households Receiving Federal Rental Assistance, Ctr. on Budget & Policy Priorities, 1 (Feb. 5, 2018), https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/atoms/files/2-5-18hous-chartbook.pdf; U.S. Federal Rental Assistance Fact Sheet, Ctr. on Budget & Policy Priorities, 1-2 (May 14, 2019), https://apps.cbpp.org/4-3-19hous/PDF/4-3-19hous-factsheet-us.pdf.

⁵ Out of Reach 2019, Nat'l Low Income Housing Coal., 1 (2019) https://reports.nlihc.org/sites/default/files/oor/OOR_2019.pdf.

⁶ The Gap: A Shortage of Affordable Homes, Nat'l Low Income Housing Coal., 2-3 (Mar. 2019) https://reports.nlihc.org/sites/default/files/gap/Gap-Report_2019.pdf; see also U.S. Federal Rental Assistance Fact Sheet, supra note 5.

Absent stable and affordable housing, individuals can experience increased hospital visits, loss of employment, and mental health problems.⁷ Children lacking stable homes are twice as likely to go hungry as children with stable homes and three times as likely to have emotional and behavioral problems like anxiety, depression, sleep problems, withdrawal, and aggression.⁸ As the government itself has recognized, "absent a safe, decent, affordable place to live, it is next to impossible to achieve good health, positive educational outcomes, or reach one's economic potential."⁹

But the Rule's detrimental impact will not be limited to immigrants and their communities. Public housing agencies and other affordable-housing providers will experience increased instability and turnover in housing units as the Rule takes effect. Administrators will have to respond to confusion across the housing landscape and invest considerable resources in documenting immigrants' benefits-

⁷ See Will Fischer, Research Shows Housing Vouchers Reduce Hardship and Provide Platform for Long Term Gains Among Children, Ctr. on Budget & Policy Priorities, 1-6, (Oct. 7, 2015), https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/atoms/files/3-10-14hous.pdf.

⁸ McCoy-Roth et al., *When the Bough Breaks: The Effects of Homelessness on Young Children*, Child Trends: Early Childhood Highlights, 2 (2012), https://www.academia.edu/10438892/When_the_Bough_Breaks_The_Effects_of_Homelessness_on_Young_Children.

⁹ Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness, U.S. Interagency Council on Homelessness, 7 (2015) https://www.usich.gov/resources/uploads/asset_library/USICH_OpeningDoors_A mendment2015_FINAL.pdf.

receipt history. In particular, the Rule directs individuals to provide official documentation specifying the exact amounts and dates of benefits received or to demonstrate that they have not received any public benefits within a certain timeframe.¹⁰ This creates administrative costs for affordable housing providers, many of which are not equipped to deal with such a burden and may exit the programs.

II. HARMS RELATED TO THE LOSS OF NUTRITION BENEFITS.

The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program ("SNAP") provides nutritional aid to a broad range of families.¹¹ Roughly half of all children will receive SNAP benefits at some point(s) during childhood, and half of all adults will receive SNAP benefits at some point(s) between the ages of 20 and 65.¹² In 2018, SNAP provided at least 40 million individuals benefits for at least one month of the year.¹³

¹⁰ 84 Fed. Reg. 41,463.

¹¹ 7 U.S.C. § 2011 et seq.

¹² Mark R. Rank & Thomas A. Hirschl, *Estimating the Risk of Food Stamp Use and Impoverishment During Childhood*, 163 Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Med. 994, 994–999 (2009); Mark R. Rank & Thomas A. Hirschl, *Likelihood of Using Food Stamps During the Adulthood Years*, 37 J. of Nutrition Educ. & Behavior 137, 137–46 (2005).

¹³ *Policy Basics: The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)*, Ctr. on Budget and Policy Priorities, 1 (June 25, 2019), https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/atoms/files/policybasics-foodstamps.pdf.

Because the Rule considers the receipt of SNAP benefits as a heavily weighed negative factor,¹⁴ it will likely force many immigrants and citizen children to disenroll or forego benefits. The government itself estimated that roughly 130,000 SNAP recipients intending to apply for an adjustment of status would either disenroll or forgo enrollment as a result of the new Rule.¹⁵ While the true number of individuals who will disenroll from SNAP is likely much higher,¹⁶ even the mass disenrollment contemplated by the government will result in a severe decline in nutritional health and food security in many immigrant communities.

Mass disenrollment from nutritional programs will harm state and local governments. Not only will local municipalities be forced to revise their internal policies and be subject to a sharp influx of administrative queries, these governments will also bear the burden of having to adopt stop-gap nutritional programs.

State and local governments are likely to respond to the Rule as they did when Congress attempted to curtail benefit-eligibility among immigrant

¹⁴ 84 Fed. Reg. at 41,295.

¹⁵ Notice of Proposed Rulemaking: Inadmissibility on Public Charge Grounds, 83 Fed. Reg. at 51,266–67 (Oct. 10, 2018).

¹⁶ Hamutal Bernstein et al., *One in Seven Adults in Immigrant Families Reported Avoiding Public Benefit Programs in 2018*, Urban Inst. (2019), at 7–8 https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/100270/one_in_seven_adults_in_immigrant_families_reported_avoiding_publi_7.pdf.

communities. In 1996, the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity

Reconciliation Act ("PRWORA") severely limited SNAP eligibility for many legal immigrants. During the four-year window in which PRWORA's policies were in full effect, states enacted a number of patchwork measures to provide supplemental food assistance. These measures were limited. As a result of budget shortfalls, Florida and Massachusetts terminated their programs in less than four years, and most states ended their programs shortly thereafter.

III. HEALTHCARE-RELATED HARMS.

More than 60% of Medicaid enrollees are children, adults with worklimiting disabilities, or over the age of 65. For them, access to Medicaid can lead to better composite health scores, lower incidence of high blood pressure, lower rates of obesity, fewer emergency room visits, and reduced hospitalizations as adults.²⁰ For working adults, the affordable healthcare that Medicaid offers is vital

¹⁷ Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliations Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, 110 Stat. 2105 (1996).

¹⁸ Wendy Zimmerman & Karen C. Tumlin, *Patchwork Policies: State Assistance for Immigrants under Welfare Reform*, Urban Inst., 25-26 (1999) http://webarchive.urban.org/UploadedPDF/occ24.pdf.

¹⁹ Katherine Gigliotti, Food Stamp Access for Immigrants: How States Have Implemented the 2002 Farm Bill Restorations, National Conference of State Legislatures, 6 (2004),

https://www.ncsl.org/print/immig/immigrant and foodstamps 1004.pdf.

²⁰ Alisa Chester & Joan Alker, *Medicaid at 50: A Look at the Long-Term Benefits of Childhood Medicaid*, Georgetown Univ. Health Policy Institute Ctr. for Children & Families, (July 27, 2015), https://ccf.georgetown.edu/2015/07/27/medicaid-50-

because it allows them to stay healthy and employed. Nearly 80% of adult, non-elderly Medicaid beneficiaries are in families where at least one individual works full time.²¹

Many providers rely on Medicaid funding, with local government-sponsored community health centers receiving as much as 44% of their total revenue from Medicaid.²² But because the new Public Charge Rule is likely to lead to a mass withdrawal from Medicaid, much of this funding will be lost and many hospitals that rely on Medicaid to stay afloat will have to close.²³ For example, New York City's Health and Hospital system estimates that it will lose up to \$362 million if the Rule takes effect.²⁴ Hospital closures will reduce access to care for both

look-long-term-benefits-childhood-medicaid/; see also Ohio Medicaid Group VIII Assessment: A Report to the Ohio General Assembly, Ohio Dep't of Medicaid, 3 (2017), https://medicaid.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Resources/Reports/Annual/Group-VIII-Assessment.pdf.

²¹ Rachel Garfield et al., *Understanding the Intersection of Medicaid and Work*, Kaiser Family Found., (Aug. 8, 2018), https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issuebrief/understanding-the-intersection-of-medicaid-and-work-what-does-the-datasay.

²² Sara Rosenbaum et al., *Community Health Center Financing: The Role of Medicaid and Section 330 Grant Funding Explained*, Kaiser Family Found., (Mar. 2, 2018), https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/community-health-center-financing-the-role-of-medicaid-and-section-330-grant-funding-explained/.

²³ Richard Lindrooth et al., *Understanding The Relationship Between Medicaid Expansions and Hospital Closures*, Health Affairs (2018), https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/full/10.1377/hlthaff.2017.0976.

²⁴ See Jennifer Henderson, NYC Health & Hospitals Projects \$362M Loss From Trump-proposed Changes to Public Charge Rule, Modern Healthcare, (Dec. 06,

citizens and noncitizens alike, and especially hurt children. State and local governments will be forced to support certain public health safety-net programs with their own resources.

The restriction of access to healthcare caused by the Rule will also lead to costly public health issues. For example, uninsured adults are up to 20% more likely than insured adults to seek emergency-room care, typically because they lack affordable preventive care options. Affordable preventative care reduces the instance of individuals with non-emergency conditions seeking emergency room services, a cost that adds up to \$4.4 billion dollars annually. The government admits that the Rule will likely result in "increased use of emergency rooms and emergent care as a method of primary health care due to delayed treatment; increased prevalence of communicable diseases, including among members of the

^{2018),}

https://www.modernhealthcare.com/article/20181206/NEWS/181209959/nyc-health-hospitals-projects-362m-loss-from-trump-proposed-changes-to-public-charge-rule.

²⁵ Renee M. Gindi, Ph.D. et al., *Emergency Room Use Among Adults Aged 18–64: Early Release of Estimates From the National Health Interview Survey, January–June 2011*, Ctrs. for Disease Control, (May 2012), https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/emergency_room_use_january-june_2011.pdf.

²⁶ Robin M. Weinick et al., *Many Emergency Department Visits Could Be Managed at Urgent Care Centers and Retail Clinics*, 29 Health Affairs 1630 (2010), https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/pdf/10.1377/hlthaff.2009.0748.

U.S. citizen population who are not vaccinated; and increases in uncompensated care in which a treatment or service is not paid for by an insurer or patient."²⁷

IV. THE PUBLIC CHARGE RULE WILL EXERT A CHILLING EFFECT.

The Rule will also create a "chilling effect," where individuals who face no direct risk to their immigration status—including U.S. citizens—will likely withdraw from, or refuse to apply for, public benefits. For example, one in three adults who reported a chilling effect within his or her family disenrolled from or refused to apply for housing subsidies. Similarly, approximately 46% of adults in families reporting a chilling effect also stated that someone in their family disenrolled from or did not apply for SNAP benefits. In fact, after the government publicly revealed a preliminary version of the Rule in 2018, SNAP experienced a 10% decrease in enrollment among eligible recently arrived immigrant families in five major American cities. Approximately 7 million

²⁷ 83 Fed. Reg. at 51,270.

²⁸ Hamutal Bernstein et al., *supra* note 16, at 7–8.

²⁹ *Id.*

³⁰ Allison Bovell-Ammon et al., *Trends in Food Insecurity and SNAP Participation among Immigrant Families U.S.-Born Young Children*, Children (2019) https://childrenshealthwatch.org/trends-in-food-insecurity-and-snap-participation-among-immigrant-families-u-s-born-young-children/; NYC Dep't of Social Services, *Fact Sheet: SNAP Enrollment Trends in New York City* (June 2019), https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/immigrants/downloads/pdf/Fact-Sheet-June-2019.pdf.

people receive SNAP who live in households with at least one non-citizen or naturalized citizen member.³¹ Researchers estimate that as many as 35% of SNAP recipients with these characteristics could disenroll or refuse to apply for SNAP benefits.³²

Roughly 42% of adults in families reporting a chilling effect stated that they had disenrolled from or withdrew from Medicaid benefits, and as many as 2.1 to 4.9 million current Medicaid enrollees could disenroll.³³ In July 2019, researchers found that 8.3 million children enrolled in Medicaid or SNAP were at risk of losing benefits under the Rule, 5.5 million of whom had specific medical needs.³⁴ Between 800,000 and 1.9 million children with medical needs, including asthma, epilepsy, and cancer, could be disenrolled from these benefits.³⁵

³¹ Characteristics of Supplemental Assistance Program Households: Fiscal Year 2017, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, 92-93 (Feb. 2019), https://fns-prod.azureedge.net/sites/default/files/resource-files/Characteristics2017.pdf.

³² Only Wealthy Immigrants Need Apply: How a Trump Rule's Chilling Effect will Harm the U.S., Fiscal Policy Institute, (Oct. 10, 2018), http://fiscalpolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/US-Impact-of-Public-Charge.pdf.

³³ Samantha Artiga et al., *Estimated Impacts of the Proposed Public Charge Rule on Immigrants and Medicaid*, Kaiser Family Found., (Oct. 2018), http://files.kff.org/attachment/Issue-Brief-Estimated-Impacts-of-the-Proposed-Public-Charge-Rule-on-Immigrants-and-Medicaid.

³⁴ Leah Zallman et al., *Implications of Changing Public Charge Immigrant Rules for Children Who Need Medical Care*, 173 JAMA Pediatrics 1, 1-6 (2019).

³⁵ *Id*.

The unintended consequences of previous restrictions in the 1990s are again instructive. Although the PRWORA excluded refugees and asylees from its benefit restrictions, a significant number of refugees and asylees nonetheless declined to enroll in benefit programs.³⁶ The government has acknowledged PRWORA's shadow here, noting that "when eligibility rules change for public benefits programs, there is evidence of a chilling effect that discourages immigrants from using public benefits programs for which they are still eligible."³⁷

By widening the number of individuals who are likely to withdraw from benefit programs, the Rule's chilling effects will dramatically increase the harm to state and local economies as described above. In particular, once the Rule's chilling effect is calculated, the mass disenrollment from Medicaid and SNAP caused by the rule could result in the loss of approximately 17.5 billion dollars in healthcare and food supports, and 230,000 in potential jobs.³⁸

³⁶ Namratha Kandula et al., *The Unintended Impact of Welfare Reform on the Medicaid Enrollment of Eligible Immigrants*, Health Services Research (October 2004) https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1361081/; Edward Vargas, *Immigration enforcement and mixed-status families: The effects of risk of deportation on Medicaid use*, Children and Youth Services Review (2015), https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0190740915300177.

³⁷ 83 Fed. Reg. at 51,266.

³⁸ Only Wealthy Immigrants Need Apply, supra note 32.

CONCLUSION

The damage caused by the revised Public Charge Rule will be felt both by immigrants and the larger communities of which they are inextricable members. Amici urge the Court to deny the government's stay request.

San Francisco, California

November 22, 2019

By: /s/ Adam Lauridsen

R. ADAM LAURIDSEN CHESSIE THACHER VICTOR H. YU

NICHOLAS R. GREEN 633 Battery Street

San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

Counsel for *Amici Curiae* National Housing Law Project, Food Research & Action Center, and The Center For Law &

Social Policy

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO FED. R. APP. P. 32

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing brief complies with the type-volume limitation of Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 32 and 29 because the brief contains 2575 words of text.

The brief complies with the typeface and type style requirements of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32 because this brief was prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 2016, Times New Roman, Size 14.

San Francisco, California

November 22, 2019 By: /s/ Adam Lauridsen

R. ADAM LAURIDSEN CHESSIE THACHER VICTOR H. YU NICHOLAS R. GREEN 633 Battery Street

San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

Counsel for *Amici Curiae* National Housing Law Project, Food Research & Action Center, and The Center For Law & Social Policy

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 22, 2019, National Housing Law Project, Food Research & Action Center, and The Center For Law & Social Policy by and through its attorneys Keker, Van Nest & Peters LLP, served a copy of its amicus brief by ELECTRONICALLY POSTING to the ECF website of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The Court performed service electronically on all ECF registered entities in this matter.

San Francisco, California

November 22, 2019 By: /s/ Adam Lauridsen

R. ADAM LAURIDSEN
CHESSIE THACHER
VICTOR H. YU
NICHOLAS R. GREEN
633 Battery Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-1809

Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

Counsel for *Amici Curiae* National Housing Law Project, Food Research & Action Center, and The Center For Law & Social Policy