

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS

<hr/>)	
MAINE COMMUNITY)	
HEALTH OPTIONS,)	
)	
	Plaintiff,)	Case No. 20-458
)	
	v.)	Chief Judge Sweeney
)	
THE UNITED STATES,)	
)	
	Defendant.)	
)	
<hr/>)	

JOINT MOTION TO STAY

Pursuant to Rule 7 of the United States Court of Federal Claims, plaintiff, Maine Community Health Options and defendant, the United States, respectfully submit this joint motion to stay proceedings in this case pending a decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Federal Circuit) in *Sanford Health Plan v. United States*, 139 Fed. Cl. 701 (2018), *appeal docketed*, No. 19-1290 (2018) (*Sanford Health Plan*); *Montana Health Co-Op v. United States*, 139 Fed. Cl. 213 (2018), *appeal docketed*, No. 19-1302 (2018) (*Montana Health Co-Op*); *Community Health Choice, Inc. v. United States*, 141 Fed. Cl. 744 (2019), *appeal docketed*, No. 19-1633 (2019) (*Community Health Choice*); and *Maine Cmty. Health Options v. United States*, 143 Fed. Cl. 381 (2019), *appeal docketed*, No. 19-2102.

BACKGROUND

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) established two programs in the same subpart to lower the cost of health coverage offered through the Exchanges. Section 1401 of the ACA authorizes a premium tax credit for eligible taxpayers and funded the program by amending a preexisting permanent appropriation for tax credits. Section 1402 of the ACA

requires insurance issuers to reduce cost sharing (such as deductibles and co-payments) for eligible insureds, and further provides that the Secretary of Health & Human Services (HHS) shall make payments to issuers equal to the value of the cost-sharing reductions issuers provide on behalf of their eligible insureds. In contrast to Section 1401, however, Section 1402 does not appropriate funds for cost-sharing reduction (CSR) payments to issuers.

Maine Community seeks damages for HHS's failure to make CSR payments. In particular, on April 17, 2020, Maine Community filed the complaint in this case, alleging that under Section 1402, it is entitled to recover unpaid CSR payments for the 2019 and 2020 plan years. *See, generally*, ECF No. 1 (Complaint). As plaintiff's Complaint states, "this is the second action of this type brought by Health Options against the Government. In its first action, *Maine Community Health Options v. United States*, No. 17-2057C, currently on appeal at the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, No. 19-2102, (consolidated with No. 19-1290; No. 19-1302, and No. 19-1633 for purposes of oral argument), Health Options seeks the cost-sharing reductions payments the Government owes it for benefit years 2017 and 2018." *Id.* at 1. The Government's answer or motion to dismiss plaintiff's complaint is currently due on Tuesday, June 16, 2020.

On January 9, 2020, oral argument was held in the consolidated CSR appeals and the companion cases. On May 19, 2020, pursuant to a Federal Circuit order, the parties filed supplemental briefs in the consolidated appeals, addressing the impact of the Supreme Court's decision in *Maine Community Health Options v. United States*, 140 S. Ct. 1308 (2020). The parties are waiting for a decision by the Federal Circuit in those appeals, and respectfully request that the Court stay this case pending the Federal Circuit's resolution of *Sanford* and *Montana*, and their companion appeals.

This case should be stayed because the cases on appeal at the Federal Circuit will likely resolve many, if not all, of the substantial legal issues underlying this case—namely, whether insurers are entitled to recover CSR payments that Congress declined to fund directly; whether they also possess a private contractual right to CSR payments; and whether any CSR payments must be offset by monies paid by the Government through insurance premium increases. Given that this case presents substantially identical legal issues, it would be appropriate for the Court to exercise its discretion to stay proceedings in this matter pending a decision from the Federal Circuit. A stay will conserve both judicial and party resources. *See UnionBanCal Corp. v. United States*, 93 Fed. Cl. 166, 167 (2010) (“The orderly course of justice and judicial economy is served when granting a stay simplifies the ‘issues, proof, and questions of law which could be expected to result from a stay.’”) (quoting *CMAX, Inc. v. United States*, 300 F.2d 265, 268 (9th Cir. 1962)).

Given the overlapping issues between the CSR cases in this Court and the CSR cases pending in the Federal Circuit, several other judges in this Court have stayed the CSR matters before them. *See Harvard Pilgrim v. United States*, Case No. 18-1820 (Judge Loren Smith), ECF No. 10 (February 28, 2019 order staying case); *Health Alliance Medical Plans, Inc. v. United States*, Case No. 18-334C (Judge Campbell-Smith), ECF No. 22 (March 28, 2019 order staying case); *EmblemHealth, Inc. Health Insurance Plan of Greater New York, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 19-1164 (Judge Campbell-Smith), ECF No. 6 (October 10, 2019 order staying case); *Guidewell Mutual Holding Corp. et al. v. United States*, Case No. 18-1791, ECF No. 21; *Molina Healthcare of California, Inc. et al. v. United States*, Case No. 18-333, ECF No. 9; *Montana Health Co-Op v. United States*, Case No. 19-568, ECF No. 9; *Noridian Health*

Insurance Co. dba Blue Cross Blue Shield of North Dakota, Case No. 18-1983, ECF No. 33;
Sanford Health Plan v. United States, Case No. 19-569, ECF No. 9.

We thus respectfully request that the Court stay this case until the CSR appeals at the Federal Circuit are finally resolved, and propose that the parties submit a status report within 30 days of final disposition of those cases, proposing next steps in this litigation.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Stephen McBrady _____
Stephen McBrady
CROWELL & MORING LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC
20004
Telephone: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile: (202) 628-5116
SMcBrady@crowell.com

JOSEPH H. HUNT
Assistant Attorney General

ROBERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR.
Director

s/ Claudia Burke _____
CLAUDIA BURKE
Assistant Director

s/ Albert S. Iarossi _____
ALBERT S. IAROSSO
Trial Attorney
Civil Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 480
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044
Telephone: (202) 616-3755
Email: Albert.S.Iarossi@usdoj.gov

OF COUNSEL:

CHRISTOPHER J. CARNEY
Senior Litigation Counsel

ERIC E. LAUFGRABEN
Senior Trial Counsel

Counsel for Defendant

June 12, 2020