

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS

<hr/>)	
AETNA HEALTHCARE, INC.,)	
et al.)	
)	
	Plaintiffs,)	Case No. 20-905
)	Senior Judge Smith
	v.)	
)	
THE UNITED STATES,)	
)	
	Defendant.)	
<hr/>			

JOINT MOTION TO STAY

Pursuant to Rule 7 of the United States Court of Federal Claims, plaintiffs, Aetna Healthcare, Inc. et al., and defendant, the United States, respectfully submit this joint motion to stay this case for 31 days from the due date of the Government’s responsive pleading, to and including October 23, 2020, at which point the parties propose to submit a joint status report proposing further proceedings. Good cause exists to grant the requested relief.

BACKGROUND

Plaintiffs filed their complaint in this case on July 24, 2020, seeking payment of cost sharing reduction (CSRs) for 2016 and 2017 under Section 1402 of the Affordable Care Act (ACA). The Government’s responsive pleading is currently due on September 22. This case is one of approximately two dozen cases, including a class action involving more than 100 insurer plaintiffs, seeking payment of CSRs under Section 1402. In one of those cases, *Sanford Health Plan v. United States*, No. 18-136 (Fed. Cl.), the Court entered judgment in plaintiff’s favor, and that case was appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. That case was consolidated for oral argument before the same merits panel with three other CSR appeals: *Montana Health Co-Op v. United States*, 139 Fed. Cl. 213 (2018), *appeal docketed*, No. 19-1302

(Fed. Cir. 2018) (*Montana Health Co-Op*); *Community Health Choice, Inc. v. United States*, 141 Fed. Cl. 744 (2019), *appeal docketed*, No. 19-1633 (Fed. Cir. 2019) (*Community Health Choice*); and *Maine Community Health Options v. United States*, 143 Fed. Cl. 381 (2019), *appeal docketed*, No. 19-2102 (Fed. Cir. 2019) (*Maine*, and with *Sanford*, *Montana Health Co-op*, and *Community Health Choice*, the “Consolidated CSR Appeals”). In the interim, this Court has stayed all remaining CSR suits, pending a decision in the Consolidated CSR Appeals.

On August 14, 2020, the Federal Circuit issued decisions in *Sanford* and *Community Health Choice*. In *Sanford*, which concerned only 2017 CSR claims, the Federal Circuit held that the Tucker Act provides a remedy for the Government’s failure to make the CSR payments required under the ACA. The Court ruled that the Supreme Court’s recent risk-corridors decision, *Maine Community Health Options v. United States*, 140 S. Ct. 1308 (2020), addressing a different payment-obligation provision of the ACA, “makes clear that the cost-sharing-reduction reimbursement provision imposes an unambiguous obligation on the government to pay money and that the obligation is enforceable through a damages action in the Court of Federal Claims under the Tucker Act.” *Sanford*, 2020 WL 4723703, *1.

In the second decision, *Community Health Choice, Inc. v. United States*, No. 2019-1633, 2020 WL 4723757 (Fed. Cir. Aug. 14, 2020), the Federal Circuit followed its ruling in *Sanford* with respect to liability and proceeded to address the quantum of damages. The Court concluded that the Government is not entitled to a reduction in damages with respect to CSRs not paid in 2017; however, with respect to 2018, “the Claims Court must reduce the insurers’ damages by the amount of additional premium tax credit payments that each insurer received as a result of the government’s termination of cost-sharing reduction payments.” *Community Health Choice, Inc.*, 2020 WL 4723757, *1. The Court remanded *Community Health Choice* and its companion

case, *Maine*, to the Court of Federal Claims for further proceedings related to the calculation of the offset.

DISCUSSION

Although the Federal Circuit has issued rulings in the Consolidated CSR Appeals, it has not yet issued mandates in those appeals. Because any party to the Consolidated CSR Appeals may file a petition for rehearing and/or rehearing *en banc*, Fed. Cir. R. 35, 40, or a petition for a writ of *certiorari*, there may be further proceedings in those appeals that will impact all 23 of the cases raising CSR claims, including this one.

In order to conserve judicial and party resources, the parties respectfully request that the Court stay this case for 31 days from the due date of the Government's responsive pleading, to and including October 23, 2020, at which point the parties will submit a joint status report proposing further proceedings. A 31-day stay will provide the Court and the parties with clarity about the posture of the Consolidated CSR Appeals and their impact on this case. *See UnionBanCal Corp. v. United States*, 93 Fed. Cl. 166, 167 (2010) ("The orderly course of justice and judicial economy is served when granting a stay simplifies the 'issues, proof, and questions of law which could be expected to result from a stay.'") (quoting *CMAX, Inc. v. United States*, 300 F.2d 265, 268 (9th Cir. 1962)). The Court has already extended existing stays in other CSR cases until October 23. *See, e.g., Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Inc., et al. v. United States* No. 18-1820 (Fed. Cl.) at ECF No. 22 (Aug. 24, 2020); *Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Inc., et al. v. United States* No. 20-578 (Fed. Cl.) at ECF No. 12 (Aug. 24, 2020); *Sanford Health Plan v. United States* No. 20-746 (Fed. Cl.) at ECF No. 9 (Aug. 25, 2020); *Guidewell Mutual Holding Corp., et al. v. United States* No. 18-1791 (Fed. Cl.) at ECF No. 24 (Aug. 28, 2020).

CONCLUSION

For these reasons, the parties jointly respectfully request that the Court stay this case for 31 days from the due date of the Government's responsive pleading, to and including October 23, 2020, at which point the parties will submit a joint status report proposing further proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Stephen McBrady
Stephen McBrady
CROWELL & MORING LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile: (202) 628-5116
SMcBrady@crowell.com

OF COUNSEL:

Daniel Wolff
Xavier Baker
Skye Mathieson
Charles Baek
CROWELL & MORING LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Counsel for Plaintiff Aetna Healthcare, Inc., et al.

JEFFREY BOSSERT CLARK
Acting Assistant Attorney General

ROBERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR.
Director

s/ Claudia Burke
CLAUDIA BURKE
Assistant Director

s/ Albert S. Iarossi
ALBERT S. IAROSSI
Trial Attorney
Commercial Litigation Branch
Civil Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 480
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044
Telephone: (202) 616-3755
E-mail: albert.s.iarossi@usdoj.gov

OF COUNSEL:

CHRISTOPHER J. CARNEY
Senior Litigation Counsel

ERIC E. LAUFGRABEN
Senior Trial Counsel
Civil Division
U.S. Department of Justice
Counsel for Defendant

September 15, 2020