Case: 21-1380 Document: 17 Page: 1 Filed: 02/02/2021

NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

BLUE CROSS & BLUE SHIELD OF VERMONT, Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

UNITED STATES, Defendant-Appellant

2021-1380

Appeal from the United States Court of Federal Claims in No. 1:18-cv-00373-MBH, Senior Judge Marian Blank Horn.

ON MOTION

Before NEWMAN, MOORE, and STOLL, *Circuit Judges*.

MOORE, *Circuit Judge*.

ORDER

The United States moves to stay this appeal. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Vermont opposes the motion to stay and moves for summary affirmance, which the United States opposes.

BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD v. US

2

Summary affirmance is appropriate "when the position of one party is so clearly correct as a matter of law that no substantial question regarding the outcome of the appeal exists." Joshua v. United States, 17 F.3d 378, 3380 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (citation omitted). In this case, the parties stipulated to judgment based on this court's decisions in Sanford Health Plan v. United States, No. 2019-1290 and Common Ground Healthcare v. United States, No. 2020-1286. Because that precedent controls the outcome of this appeal, summary affirmance is appropriate.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- (1) The motion to stay is denied. The motion to summarily affirm is granted.
- (2) The judgment of the United States Court of Federal Claims is affirmed.
 - (3) Each party shall bear its own costs.

FOR THE COURT

February 02, 2021 Date /s/ Peter R. Marksteiner Peter R. Marksteiner Clerk of Court

s29

ISSUED AS A MANDATE: February 02, 2021