

Nos. 20-429, 20-454, 20-539  
**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

---

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, *ET AL.*,  
*Petitioners,*

v.

NORRIS COCHRAN, ACTING SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES, *ET AL.*,  
*Respondents.*

---

*ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED  
STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE  
NINTH CIRCUIT*

---

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF  
INTERVENTION OF PROPOSED  
INTERVENORS OHIO AND 18 OTHER STATES**

---

DAVE YOST  
Ohio Attorney General

BENJAMIN M. FLOWERS\*  
*\*Counsel of Record*

Ohio Solicitor General

DIANE R. BREY

STEPHEN P. CARNEY

Deputy Solicitors General

30 E. Broad St., 17th Fl.

Columbus, Ohio 43215

614-466-8980

[bflowers@ohioattorneygeneral.gov](mailto:bflowers@ohioattorneygeneral.gov)

*Counsel for the State of Ohio*

[Caption continues on inside cover | additional  
counsel listed at end of the brief]

---

NORRIS COCHRAN, ACTING SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES, *ET AL.*,  
*Petitioners,*

v.

MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE,  
*Respondent.*

---

*ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED  
STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH  
CIRCUIT*

---

OREGON, *ET AL.*,  
*Petitioners,*

v.

NORRIS COCHRAN, ACTING SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES, *ET AL.*,  
*Respondents.*

---

*ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED  
STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH  
CIRCUIT*

---

## MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE

Ohio and 18 other States respectfully move for leave to file a supplemental brief in support of their pending Motion to Intervene. Days after the States moved to intervene in these cases, and before this Court had a chance to rule on those motions, the parties filed stipulated dismissals. They did so in an attempt to block the Court from deciding the important questions that these cases present. This short supplemental filing urges the Court to rule on the States' Motion to Intervene, along with a similar intervention motion filed by a group of private entities, before deciding whether dismissal is appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

Dave Yost  
Ohio Attorney General

Benjamin M. Flowers\*  
*\*Counsel of Record*  
Ohio Solicitor General  
Diane R. Brey  
Stephen P. Carney  
Deputy Solicitors General  
30 East Broad St., 17th Fl.  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
614-466-8980  
bflowers@  
ohioattorneygeneral.gov  
*Counsel for State of Ohio*

[Additional counsel for other States listed at end of  
Supplemental Brief below]

**SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF  
INTERVENTION OF PROPOSED  
INTERVENORS OHIO AND 18 OTHER STATES**

The Court should grant the motion that Ohio and 18 other States filed to intervene in these cases. If there were any doubt about that, the events of the last few days dispelled it.

The Court granted *certiorari* in these cases to resolve a circuit split. That split concerns the legality of HHS-promulgated rules that govern the administration of Title X. Shortly after the Court granted *certiorari*, Ohio and 18 other States moved to intervene. They did so because, in light of a recent presidential memorandum, they feared the current administration would “decline to defend the rules’ legality.” Ohio *et al.*, Mot. to Intervene at 1.

Days later, the Solicitor General proved the States right. Friday evening, the United States—which had itself requested *certiorari* in one of these cases—jointly stipulated to dismissal with the adverse parties. It is no mystery why the United States asked the Court to dismiss a case that it only recently succeeded in having granted: it feared the intervention motions filed by the States and by a group of private intervenors might succeed. That would allow the Court to hear these cases, which would allow the Court to clarify the scope of Title X, which could (depending on the result) undermine the new administration’s freedom to pursue the Title X policies it would like.

The private intervenors have already explained why this Court should postpone any decision regarding dismissal until the still-pending intervention motions are resolved. See AAPLOG, *et al.*, Mot. for Leave to File Supplemental Brief. The States adopt

the private intervenors' reasoning, and will not burden the Court by repeating that reasoning here. The States add this one point: unless there is a price to be paid for gamesmanship like this, it will not stop. As the States explained in their intervention motion, the Executive Branch, over the past decade, has "maneuvered to keep this Court from reaching issues that might thwart" a current administration's "preferred policies." *Ohio et al.*, Mot. to Intervene at 13; *see also Tex. Dep't of Hous & Cmty. Affairs v. Inclusive Cmty. Project, Inc.*, 576 U.S. 519, 552 n.4 (2015) (Thomas, J., dissenting). The President of the United States is constitutionally obligated to "take Care that the Laws be faithfully executed." U.S. Const., art. II, §3. His subordinates are dutybound to help him do so. Whatever the Take Care Clause means, it does not permit affirmatively undermining federal law—here, Title X—by entering collusive agreements that keep this Court from saying what the law is.

To avoid rewarding such behavior, this Court should not resolve the stipulated dismissal until it first decides whether to grant intervention. Rule 46.1 allows for dismissal of cases if "all parties" agree in writing "that a case be dismissed." If the Court grants intervention, then the States (or the private groups) will become parties to the case, at which point the Court will no longer have an agreement to dismiss from "all parties." Dismissal would thus be improper.

Respectfully submitted,

Steve Marshall  
Attorney General  
State of Alabama

Mark Brnovich  
Attorney General  
State of Arizona

Leslie Rutledge  
Attorney General  
State of Arkansas

Christopher M. Carr  
Attorney General  
State of Georgia

Theodore E. Rokita  
Attorney General  
State of Indiana

Derek Schmidt  
Attorney General  
State of Kansas

Daniel Cameron  
Attorney General  
State of Kentucky

Jeff Landry  
Attorney General  
State of Louisiana

Dave Yost  
Ohio Attorney General

Benjamin M. Flowers\*  
*\*Counsel of Record*  
Ohio Solicitor General  
Diane R. Brey  
Stephen P. Carney  
Deputy Solicitors General  
30 East Broad St., 17th Fl.  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
614-466-8980

bflowers@  
ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Lynn Fitch  
Attorney General  
State of Mississippi

Eric Schmitt  
Attorney General  
State of Missouri

Austin Knudsen  
Attorney General  
State of Montana

Douglas J. Peterson  
Attorney General  
State of Nebraska

Mike Hunter  
Attorney General  
State of Oklahoma

Alan Wilson  
Attorney General  
State of South Carolina

Jason Ravnsborg  
Attorney General  
State of South Dakota

Herbert H. Slatery III  
Attorney General  
and Reporter  
State of Tennessee

Ken Paxton  
Attorney General  
State of Texas

Patrick Morrissey  
Attorney General  
State of West Virginia