

1 BRIAN M. BOYNTON  
 Acting Assistant Attorney General  
 2 BRIAN D. NETTER  
 Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
 3 ALEXANDER K. HAAS  
 Director, Federal Programs Branch  
 4 BRIGHAM J. BOWEN  
 Assistant Director, Federal Programs Branch  
 5 MICHAEL P. CLENDENEN  
 6 STEPHEN EHRLICH  
 7 CHARLES E.T. ROBERTS  
 Trial Attorneys  
 8 U.S. Department of Justice  
 9 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
 10 100 L Street, NW  
 11 Washington, DC 20005  
 12 Tel.: (202) 305-9803  
 13 Email: stephen.ehrlich@usdoj.gov

14 *Counsel for Defendants*

15  
 16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 17 **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

18  
 19 State of Arizona,  
 20  
 21 Plaintiff,  
 22  
 23 v.  
 24 Janet Yellen, in her official capacity as  
 Secretary of the Treasury, *et al.*,  
 25  
 26 Defendants.

Case No. 2:21-cv-00514-DJH  
**DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO  
 ARIZONA'S MOTION FOR LEAVE  
 TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT**

27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Defendants oppose Arizona’s motion for leave to exceed the page limit on its preliminary-injunction reply brief. Mot. 1, ECF No. 32. While Defendants would ordinarily consent to a page extension, in the parties’ discussions before proposing a briefing schedule, undersigned counsel’s recollection and notes reflect that Arizona did not want to discuss page-limit extensions because the State adhered to the 17-page limit for its motion, did not think any page extensions would be warranted, and did not expect the Court to grant any page extensions. In subsequent discussions concerning Arizona’s current motion, it seems that this may have been a simple miscommunication. But Defendants relied on what they believed to be Arizona’s prior representation, and while Arizona cites the importance of the issues and the relative brevity of this District’s page limits, Mot. 1, those considerations were equally true for Arizona’s preliminary-injunction motion and Defendants’ response, both of which complied with this Court’s strict page limits. Arizona’s reply should likewise comply with the default page limit, and the State’s last-minute request for a page extension—filed on the due date of their reply brief—should be denied. Defendants reiterate that a mutually agreeable resolution of such administrative matters remains their goal moving forward.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED: May 11, 2021

Respectfully submitted,  
  
BRIAN M. BOYNTON  
Acting Assistant Attorney General  
  
BRIAN D. NETTER  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
  
ALEXANDER K. HAAS  
Director, Federal Programs Branch  
  
BRIGHAM J. BOWEN  
Assistant Director, Federal Programs Branch  
  
/s/ Stephen Ehrlich  
MICHAEL P. CLENDENEN  
STEPHEN EHRLICH  
CHARLES E.T. ROBERTS  
Trial Attorneys  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
U.S. Department of Justice  
1100 L Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: (202) 305-9803  
Email: stephen.ehrlich@usdoj.gov  
  
*Counsel for Defendants*