

United States Court of Appeals

For the Seventh Circuit
Chicago, Illinois 60604

November 17, 2021

By the Court:

RYAN KLAASSEN, et al.,
Plaintiffs-Appellants,

No. 21-2326 v.

TRUSTEES OF INDIANA UNIVERSITY,
Defendant-Appellee.

] Appeal from the United
] States District Court for
] the Northern District
] of Indiana, Fort Wayne
] Division.

] No. 1:21-cv-00238-DRL-SLC
]
] Damon R. Leichty,
] Judge.

ORDER

A review of the Jurisdictional Statement in the brief of appellee reveals that appellee has not complied with the requirements of Circuit Rule 28(b). That rule requires an appellee to state explicitly whether or not the jurisdictional summary as to both subject matter and appellate jurisdiction in an appellant's brief is "complete and correct." If it is not, the appellee must provide a "complete jurisdictional summary" as to both courts.

Appellee's statement ignores the requirement of Cir. Rule 28(b). It is insufficient to state that appellee "agrees" with appellant's jurisdictional statement "with one exception." See *Baez-Sanchez v. Sessions*, 862 F.3d 638 (7th Cir. 2017) (Wood, C.J., in chambers). Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that appellee file a paper captioned "Amended Jurisdictional Statement" on or before November 24, 2021, that fully complies with the requirements of Circuit Rule 28(b). If appellant's statement is not both complete and correct, as to both the district court's jurisdiction and appellate jurisdiction, appellee must provide a complete jurisdictional summary as to both this court and the district court. See Circuit Rule 28(a)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk DISTRIBUTE, along with the briefs in this appeal, copies of this order and appellee's "Amended Jurisdictional Statement" to the assigned merits panel.