

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA  
MONROE DIVISION**

THE STATE OF LOUISIANA,  
By and through its Attorney General, JEFF  
LANDRY; ET AL,

PLAINTIFFS,

v.

XAVIER BECERRA, in his official capacity as  
Secretary of Health and Human Services; et al.,

DEFENDANTS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:21-CV-04370-TAD-  
KDM

**Motion to Order Completion of the Administrative Record**

For the reasons discussed in the accompanying memorandum of law, Plaintiff States move for an order compelling Defendants to complete the administrative record. Specifically, Plaintiff States identify two categories on which the lodged administrative record is incomplete: White House influence and outside influence. The shortcomings in the lodged administrative record make an order compelling completion necessary to ensure the Court has a full administrative record to review.<sup>1</sup>

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: April 19, 2022

/s/ Elizabeth B. Murrill

ELIZABETH B. MURRILL (La #20685)  
Solicitor General  
J. SCOTT ST. JOHN (La #36682)  
Deputy Solicitor General  
MORGAN BRUNGARD\*  
Assistant Solicitor General  
LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
1885 N. Third Street  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804  
Tel: (225) 326-6766

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff States and Defendants have attempted to negotiate a resolution to these issues, but were unable to come to an agreement. Defendants oppose the motion.

murrille@ag.louisiana.gov  
stjohnj@ag.louisiana.gov  
brungardm@ag.louisiana.gov

*Counsel for the State of Louisiana*

STEVE MARSHALL  
Alabama Attorney General  
/s/ Edmund G. LaCour Jr.  
Edmund G. LaCour Jr.\*  
*Solicitor General*  
Office of the Attorney General  
State of Alabama  
501 Washington Avenue  
P.O. Box 300152  
Montgomery, Alabama 36130-0152  
Telephone: (334) 242-7300  
Fax: (334) 353-8400  
Edmund.LaCour@AlabamaAG.gov

*Counsel for the State of Alabama*

TREG R. TAYLOR  
Attorney General of Alaska  
/s/ Charles E. Brasington  
Charles E. Brasington  
*Assistant Attorney General*  
State of Alaska  
1031 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 200  
Anchorage, AK 99501  
Phone: (907) 269-6612  
charles.brasington@alaska.gov

*Counsel for the State of Alaska*

MARK BRNOVICH  
Arizona Attorney General  
/s/ Robert J. Makar  
Robert J. Makar\*  
*Assistant Attorney General*  
2005 N. Central Ave.  
Phoenix, AZ 85004  
Tel: (602) 542-4389  
Robert.Makar@azag.gov  
ACL@azag.gov

*Counsel for the State of Arizona*

AUSTIN KNUDSEN  
Montana Attorney General  
/s/ Kristin Hansen  
Kristin Hansen\*  
*Lieutenant General*  
David M.S. Dewhirst\*  
*Solicitor General*  
215 North Sanders  
P.O. Box 201401  
Helena, MT 59620-1401  
Phone: 406-444-2026  
Fax: 406-444-3549  
david.dewhirst@mt.gov

*Counsel for the State of Montana*

DOUGLAS J. PETERSON  
Nebraska Attorney General  
/s/ James A. Campbell  
James A. Campbell  
*Solicitor General*  
2115 State Capitol  
Lincoln, NE 68509  
Tel: (402) 471-2682  
jim.campbell@nebraska.gov

*Counsel for the State of Nebraska*

WAYNE STENEHJEM  
North Dakota Attorney General  
/s/ Matthew Sagsveen  
Matthew Sagsveen  
*Solicitor General*  
Director of Civil Litigation, Natural  
Resources, and Indian Affairs Division  
North Dakota Office of Attorney General  
500 N. 9th Street  
Bismarck, ND 58501-4509  
masagsve@nd.gov

*Counsel for the State of North Dakota*

LESLIE RUTLEDGE  
Arkansas Attorney General  
/s/ Dylan L. Jacobs  
Dylan L. Jacobs  
*Assistant Solicitor General*  
Office of the Arkansas Attorney General  
323 Center St., Suite 200  
Little Rock, AR 72201  
(501) 682-2007  
Dylan.Jacobs@arkansasag.gov

*Counsel for the State of Arkansas*

ASHLEY MOODY  
Florida Attorney General  
/s/ Natalie P. Christmas  
Natalie P. Christmas  
*Assistant Attorney General of Legal Policy*  
Office of the Attorney General  
The Capitol, PL-01  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050  
(850) 414-3300  
(850) 410-2672 (fax)  
natalie.christmas@myfloridalegal.com

*Counsel for the State of Florida*

CHRISTOPHER M. CARR  
Georgia Attorney General  
/s/ Stephen J. Petrany  
Stephen Petrany  
*Solicitor General*  
Ross W. Bergethon  
*Deputy Solicitor General*  
Office of the Attorney General  
40 Capitol Square, S.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

*Counsel for the State of Georgia*

THEODORE E. ROKITA  
Indiana Attorney General  
/s/ Thomas M. Fisher  
Thomas M. Fisher\*  
*Solicitor General*  
Indiana Government Center South  
302 W. Washington St., 5th Floor  
Indianapolis, IN 46204

DAVE YOST  
Ohio Attorney General  
/s/ Benjamin M. Flowers  
Benjamin M. Flowers  
*Solicitor General*  
30 E. Broad St., 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
bflowers@ohioAGO.gov

*Counsel for the State of Ohio*

JOHN M. O'CONNOR  
Oklahoma Attorney General  
/s/ Mithun Mansinghani  
Mithun Mansinghani\*  
*Solicitor General*  
Oklahoma Office of the Attorney General  
313 NE 21<sup>st</sup> Street  
Oklahoma City, OK 73105  
Tel: (405) 522-4392  
Mithun.Mansinghani@oag.ok.gov

*Counsel for the State of Oklahoma*

ALAN WILSON  
South Carolina Attorney General  
/s/ Thomas T. Hydrick  
Thomas T. Hydrick  
*Assistant Deputy Solicitor General*  
Office of the Attorney General  
Post Office Box 11549  
Columbia, SC 29211  
(803) 734-4127  
thomashydrick@scag.gov

*Counsel for the State of South Carolina*

JASON R. RAVNSBORG  
South Dakota Attorney General  
/s/ David M. McVey  
David M. McVey  
*Assistant Attorney General*  
1302 E. Highway 14, Suite 1  
Pierre, SD 57501-8501  
Phone: (605) 773-3215  
david.mcvey@state.sd.us

Tom.fisher@atg.in.gov

*Counsel for the State of Indiana*

JEFFREY S. THOMPSON  
Iowa Solicitor General  
/s/ Samuel P. Langholz  
Samuel P. Langholz\*  
*Assistant Solicitor General*  
Office of the Attorney General  
1305 E. Walnut Street  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319  
(515) 281-5164  
jeffrey.thompson@ag.iowa.gov  
sam.langholz@ag.iowa.gov

*Counsel for the State of Iowa*

DEREK SCHMIDT  
Kansas Attorney General  
/s/ Shannon Grammel  
Shannon Grammel  
*Deputy Solicitor General*  
Office of the Kansas Attorney General  
120 SW 10th Avenue, 2nd Floor  
Topeka, Kansas 66612  
Phone: (785) 296-2215  
Email: shannon.grammel@ag.ks.gov

*Counsel for the State of Kansas*

DANIEL CAMERON  
Attorney General  
/s/ Jeremy J. Sylvester  
Jeremy J. Sylvester\*  
*Assistant Attorney General*  
Office of the Attorney General  
700 Capital Avenue, Suite 118  
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601  
Phone: (502) 696-5300  
[Jeremy.Sylvester@ky.gov](mailto:Jeremy.Sylvester@ky.gov)

*Counsel for the Commonwealth of Kentucky*

LYNN FITCH  
Attorney General of Mississippi  
/s/ Whitney H. Lipscomb  
Whitney H. Lipscomb\*

*Counsel for the State of South Dakota*

HERBERT H. SLATERY III  
Attorney General and Reporter of Tennessee  
/s/ Andree S. Blumstein  
Andree S. Blumstein\*  
*Solicitor General*  
P.O. Box 20207  
Nashville, Tennessee 37202  
(615) 741-3492  
Andree.Blumstein@ag.tn.gov

*Counsel for the State of Tennessee*

SEAN D. REYES  
Utah Attorney General  
/s/ Melissa A. Holyoak  
Melissa A. Holyoak  
*Solicitor General*  
Utah Attorney General's Office  
350 N. State Street, Suite 230  
P.O. Box 142320  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-2320  
385.271.2484  
melissaholyoak@agutah.gov

*Counsel for the State of Utah*

BRIDGET HILL  
Wyoming Attorney General  
/s/ Ryan Schelhaas  
Ryan Schelhaas\*  
*Chief Deputy Attorney General*  
Wyoming Attorney General's Office  
Attorneys for the State of Wyoming  
109 State Capitol  
Cheyenne, WY 82002  
Telephone: (307) 777-5786  
ryan.schelhaas@wyo.gov  
Counsel for the State of Wyoming

PATRICK MORRISEY  
West Virginia Attorney General  
/s/ Lindsay S. See  
Lindsay S. See  
*Solicitor General*  
State Capitol, Bldg 1, Room E-26  
Charleston, WV 25305

*Deputy Attorney General*  
John V. Coghlan\*  
*Deputy Solicitor General*  
State of Mississippi  
Office of the Attorney General  
550 High Street  
Jackson, MS 39201  
Tel: (601) 359-3680  
*Counsel for the State of Mississippi*

Lindsay.s.see@wvago.gov  
*Counsel for the State of West Virginia*

ERIC S. SCHMITT  
Attorney General of Missouri  
/s/ D. John Sauer  
D. John Sauer  
*Solicitor General*  
Missouri Attorney General's Office  
Post Office Box 899  
Jefferson City, MO 65102  
Tel: (573) 751-8870  
Fax: (573) 751-0774  
John.Sauer@ago.mo.gov

*Counsel for the State of Missouri*

*\*Motion for Pro Hac Vice forthcoming*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA  
MONROE DIVISION**

THE STATE OF LOUISIANA,  
By and through its Attorney General, JEFF  
LANDRY; ET AL,

PLAINTIFFS,

v.

XAVIER BECERRA, in his official capacity as  
Secretary of Health and Human Services; et al.,

DEFENDANTS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:21-CV-04370-TAD-  
KDM

**Memorandum in Support of Motion to Order Completion of the Administrative Record**

Plaintiff States respectfully move for an order compelling Defendants to complete the administrative record. Specifically, Plaintiff States identify two categories on which the lodged administrative record is incomplete: White House influence and outside influence. The shortcomings in the lodged administrative record make an order compelling completion necessary to ensure the Court has a full administrative record to review.<sup>1</sup>

**I. The Administrative Record Is Incomplete.**

“If a court is never willing to scrutinize agency action, the gates become a cement wall, impervious even to legitimate claims of improper influence.” *Louisiana v. Biden*, No. 2:21-CV-00778, 2021 WL 5370101, at \*4 (W.D. La. Nov. 17, 2021). Thus, “[t]he ‘whole’ or ‘complete’ administrative record” must “include[] all documents and materials directly or indirectly considered by agency decision-makers and includes evidence contrary to the agency’s decision.” *Id.* (collecting cases). And while extra-record discovery is premature at this point, it will be appropriate if Defendants’ response

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs have conferred with Defendants’ counsel and attempted but were unable to resolve the dispute over the record. Defendants oppose the Motion.

to the Court's record-completion order demonstrates that "there was such failure to explain administrative action as to frustrate judicial review,' or, if administrative findings were made at the time of the challenged action, upon a 'strong showing of bad faith or improper behavior' on the part of the agency." *La Union Del Pueblo Entero v. FEMA*, 2011 WL 1230099, at \*9 (S.D. Tex. Mar. 30, 2011); accord *Louisiana Sportsmen All., LLC v. Vilsack*, 2013 WL 12182156, at \*2 (W.D. La. Sept. 4, 2013) ("In instances where 'the agency considered evidence omitted from the administrative record', a court may consider 'extra-record' evidence.").

The administrative record submitted to the Court is suspect at best and contains clear gaps that fail to disclose political pressure on the agency to issue the Head Start Mandate. Two areas in particular reveal gaps in the record lodged: White House influence and outside influence.

*First*, it is clear that the Head Start Mandate was part of a White House-directed policy push this Fall that included several other mandates. The President's September 9, 2021 "Path Out of the Pandemic" plan promised vaccination mandates from Head Start, the Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA), the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), the General Services Administration (GSA), and the Office of Personnel Management (OPM). Demonstrating the politically driven nature of the Head Start Mandate, Defendants include in the administrative record two White House reports, including the Path Out of the Pandemic plan. AR 00601, 00628.

Despite the obvious White House influence, the record lodged by Defendants utterly lacks any communications, emails, meeting agendas, white papers, directives, memos, or any other materials from the White House other than the publicly available documents noted above. It is simply not believable that the Head Start Mandate was published without any prior consultation, review, and approval from the White House; no interagency review or coordination with other interested agencies such as CMS, OSHA, GSA, and OPM; or no interaction between HHS and the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) and Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Such consultations

are routine for significant regulatory actions. Yet Defendants ask the Court to accept that they did not occur on a centerpiece of the Administration's COVID strategy.

*Second*, the lodged record contains significant gaps regarding HHS's consultation with outside entities and experts. Such consultation is required by statute. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 9836a(a)(2)(A) ("In developing any modifications" to the Head Start standards the Secretary must "consult with experts in the fields of child development, early childhood education, child health care, family services (including linguistically and culturally appropriate services to non-English speaking children and their families), administration, and financial management, and with persons with experience in the operation of Head Start programs."). But the record does not contain meeting notes, expert reports, testimony, or email exchanges.

What's more, it is clear that the Administration consulted with unions in the development of CDC school masking policies. *See, e.g.,* Joe Schoffstall, *Teachers unions influenced last-minute CDC school guidance, received copies before public release, emails show*, Fox News (Oct. 20, 2021), <https://fxn.ws/3O7ZUDQ>. The Final Rule preamble states that HHS did consult the recommendations of the CDC. 86 Fed. Reg. at 68,054. If that is so, the outside input CDC relied upon from the teachers unions should also be included in the record. And if HHS followed CDC's path and consulted teachers unions directly, it must disclose these communications. Finally, such *ex parte* consultations would undermine Defendants' claim that they did not have time to conduct a full public notice-and-comment period.

These two sets of omissions are material because Plaintiff States claim that the rationale provided in the Final Rule is not the actual reason for the Head Start Mandate. Indeed, the Fifth Circuit has already found that another mandate stemming from the Path Out of the Pandemic Plan was based on pretextual reasons. *BST Holdings, L.L.C. v. OSHA*, 17 F.4th 604, 614 (5th Cir. 2021). And it is particularly important for the Court to have these items before it when conducting judicial



review. *Cf. Louisiana v. Biden*, 2021 WL 5370101, at \*5 (“It is important in this case for this Court to review those items in order to determine . . . whether the postponement or cancellation of these Lease Sales are pretextual.”). The omissions are directly relevant to many of the “interesting issues which will warrant more discussion later” that the Court flagged in its preliminary injunction ruling. *Louisiana v. Becerra*, No. 3:21-CV-04370, 2022 WL 16571, at \*14 (W.D. La. 2022); *see also, e.g., id.* (“If many Head Start programs will close, is the Head Start Mandate defeating the purpose of the Head Start Program?”).

“Meaningful judicial review” of these claims requires Defendants “to disclose the basis of [their] action” and “does not permit an agency to obscure the actual bases for its conduct.” *Louisiana v. Biden*, 2021 WL 5370101, at \*4 (citing *Department of Commerce v. New York*, 139 S. Ct. 2551, 2573 (2019)). And even though there is ample evidence of bad faith and pretext, Plaintiff States’ showing of a clear gap in White House materials in the record is sufficient to show incompleteness. *See Texas Steel Co. v. Donovan*, 93 F.R.D. 619, 621 (N.D. Tex. 1982) (“Plaintiff does not have to show that the agency acted in ‘bad faith,’ before such discovery will be allowed, plaintiff merely has to produce reasonable evidence so that the Court may determine whether the ‘whole’ record has been filed.”).<sup>2</sup> Because Defendants were required to offer “genuine justifications, including ‘unwritten

---

<sup>2</sup> Even if a bad faith showing were required at this stage, which it is not, Plaintiff States have met this burden by pointing to serious gaps in the decisionmaking process that required White House consultation, review, and signoff but are absent from the record. *See Schaghticoke Tribal Nation v. Norton*, 2007 WL 867987, at \*3 (D. Conn. Mar. 19, 2007) (because of “the difficulty the moving party will have in producing evidence of wrongdoing before they have had an opportunity to conduct discovery,” “it is improper to ‘require [the moving party] to come forward with conclusive evidence of political improprieties at a point when they are seeking to discover the extent of those improprieties’”); *see also Sokaogon Chippewa Cmty. (Mole Lake Band of Lake Superior Chippewa) v. Babbitt*, 961 F. Supp. 1276, 1281 (W.D. Wis. 1997) (“This is especially true given that agency officials are not likely to keep a written record of improper political contacts.” *Sokaogon Chippewa Cmty. (Mole Lake Band of Lake Superior Chippewa) v. Babbitt*, 961 F. Supp. 1276, 1281 (W.D. Wis. 1997)). The gaps identified by Plaintiff States easily meet their burden of “supply[ing] sufficient evidence of improper political influence on agency decisionmaking as to raise suspicions that defy easy explanations.” *Schaghticoke Tribal Nation v. Norton*, 2007 WL 867987, at \*3.

justifications,” and have failed to do so in the lodged record, the record is incomplete and must be supplemented. *Id.*; *see also id.* *See Exxon Corp. v. Dep’t of Energy*, 91 F.R.D. 26, 33 (N.D. Tex. 1981) (Higginbotham, J.) (“The ‘whole’ administrative record, [] consists of all documents and materials directly or indirectly considered by agency decision-makers and includes evidence contrary to the agency’s position.”).

## II. The Court Should Order Completion of the Record.

An order compelling Defendants to complete the record is the correct response to Defendants’ lodging of an incomplete record. If Defendants fail to supply a complete record in response to the Court’s order, then limited extra-record discovery may be appropriate. *See, e.g., Williams v. Roche*, 2002 WL 31819158, at \*3 (E.D. La. Dec. 12, 2002) (“Plaintiff may be permitted some limited discovery to explore whether the agency considered other evidence, either directly or indirectly, in reaching its decision and to determine whether the administrative record is actually complete.”); *Exxon Corp. v. Dep’t of Energy*, 91 F.R.D. 26, 34 (N.D. Tex. 1981) (Higginbotham, J.) (extra record discovery’s “primary function is to offer assurance that the administrative record is complete in areas where completeness is suspect”). Indeed, in the past, this Court has issued such a completion order in response to very similar gaps in lodged administrative records. *See Louisiana v. Biden*, 2021 WL 5370101, at \*5 (“Any documents and materials directly or indirectly considered by these government agencies, including documents and materials from the White House, are subject to judicial review and are to be filed in the AR.”); *see also id.* (“It is important in this case for this Court to review those items in order to determine whether there was improper influence, whether there was collusion, and/or whether the postponement or cancellation of these Lease Sales are pretextual.”). Accordingly, the Court should compel Defendants to complete the record. *Cf. Louisiana Sportsmen All., LLC*, 2013 WL 12182156, at \*2 (“[I]here is nothing before this court which proves the administrative record filed herein contains all evidence considered by the defendants in reaching its decision.”).

Plaintiff States request that the Court order record completion in the following two areas:

1. Meetings, communications, correspondence, phone calls, documents, input, approvals, or any other evidence of involvement of the White House, OSHA, CMS, GSA, OPM, OIRA, or OMB in HHS's promulgation of the Head Start Mandate.

2. Meetings, communications, documents, input, approvals, or any other evidence of involvement of the National Head Start Association, the National School Boards Association, the American Federation of Teachers, the National Education Association, or other stakeholder groups in HHS's promulgation of the Head Start Mandate.

### **Conclusion**

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should grant Plaintiff States' motion for an order compelling Defendants to complete the administrative record.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: April 19, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Elizabeth B. Murrill

ELIZABETH B. MURRILL (La #20685)

Solicitor General

J. SCOTT ST. JOHN (La #36682)

Deputy Solicitor General

MORGAN BRUNGARD\*

Assistant Solicitor General

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

1885 N. Third Street

Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804

Tel: (225) 326-6766

murrille@ag.louisiana.gov

stjohnj@ag.louisiana.gov

brungardm@ag.louisiana.gov

*Counsel for the State of Louisiana*

STEVE MARSHALL

Alabama Attorney General

/s/ Edmund G. LaCour Jr.

Edmund G. LaCour Jr.\*

*Solicitor General*

AUSTIN KNUDSEN

Montana Attorney General

/s/ Kristin Hansen

Kristin Hansen\*

Office of the Attorney General  
State of Alabama  
501 Washington Avenue  
P.O. Box 300152  
Montgomery, Alabama 36130-0152  
Telephone: (334) 242-7300  
Fax: (334) 353-8400  
Edmund.LaCour@AlabamaAG.gov  
*Counsel for the State of Alabama*

TREG R. TAYLOR  
Attorney General of Alaska  
/s/ Charles E. Brasington  
Charles E. Brasington  
*Assistant Attorney General*  
State of Alaska  
1031 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 200  
Anchorage, AK 99501  
Phone: (907) 269-6612  
charles.brasington@alaska.gov  
*Counsel for the State of Alaska*

MARK BRNOVICH  
Arizona Attorney General  
/s/ Robert J. Makar  
Robert J. Makar\*  
*Assistant Attorney General*  
2005 N. Central Ave.  
Phoenix, AZ 85004  
Tel: (602) 542-4389  
Robert.Makar@azag.gov  
ACL@azag.gov  
*Counsel for the State of Arizona*

LESLIE RUTLEDGE  
Arkansas Attorney General  
/s/ Dylan L. Jacobs  
Dylan L. Jacobs  
*Assistant Solicitor General*  
Office of the Arkansas Attorney General  
323 Center St., Suite 200  
Little Rock, AR 72201  
(501) 682-2007  
Dylan.Jacobs@arkansasag.gov  
*Counsel for the State of Arkansas*

ASHLEY MOODY  
Florida Attorney General

*Lieutenant General*  
David M.S. Dewhurst\*  
*Solicitor General*  
215 North Sanders  
P.O. Box 201401  
Helena, MT 59620-1401  
Phone: 406-444-2026  
Fax: 406-444-3549  
david.dewhurst@mt.gov  
*Counsel for the State of Montana*

DOUGLAS J. PETERSON  
Nebraska Attorney General  
/s/ James A. Campbell  
James A. Campbell  
*Solicitor General*  
2115 State Capitol  
Lincoln, NE 68509  
Tel: (402) 471-2682  
jim.campbell@nebraska.gov  
*Counsel for the State of Nebraska*

WAYNE STENEHJEM  
North Dakota Attorney General  
/s/ Matthew Sagsveen  
Matthew Sagsveen  
*Solicitor General*  
Director of Civil Litigation, Natural  
Resources, and Indian Affairs Division  
North Dakota Office of Attorney General  
500 N. 9th Street  
Bismarck, ND 58501-4509  
masagsve@nd.gov  
*Counsel for the State of North Dakota*

DAVE YOST  
Ohio Attorney General  
/s/ Benjamin M. Flowers  
Benjamin M. Flowers  
*Solicitor General*  
30 E. Broad St., 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
bflowers@ohioAGO.gov

*Counsel for the State of Ohio*

/s/ Natalie P. Christmas

Natalie P. Christmas  
*Assistant Attorney General of Legal Policy*  
Office of the Attorney General  
The Capitol, Pl-01  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050  
(850) 414-3300  
(850) 410-2672 (fax)  
natalie.christmas@myfloridalegal.com  
*Counsel for the State of Florida*

CHRISTOPHER M. CARR

Georgia Attorney General  
/s/ Stephen J. Petrany  
Stephen Petrany  
*Solicitor General*  
Ross W. Bergethon  
*Deputy Solicitor General*  
Office of the Attorney General  
40 Capitol Square, S.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30334  
*Counsel for the State of Georgia*

THEODORE E. ROKITA

Indiana Attorney General  
/s/ Thomas M. Fisher  
Thomas M. Fisher\*  
*Solicitor General*  
Indiana Government Center South  
302 W. Washington St., 5th Floor  
Indianapolis, IN 46204  
Tom.fisher@atg.in.gov  
*Counsel for the State of Indiana*

JEFFREY S. THOMPSON

Iowa Solicitor General  
/s/ Samuel P. Langholz  
Samuel P. Langholz\*  
*Assistant Solicitor General*  
Office of the Attorney General  
1305 E. Walnut Street  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319  
(515) 281-5164  
jeffrey.thompson@ag.iowa.gov  
sam.langholz@ag.iowa.gov  
*Counsel for the State of Iowa*

DEREK SCHMIDT

JOHN M. O'CONNOR  
Oklahoma Attorney General

/s/ Mithun Mansinghani  
Mithun Mansinghani\*  
*Solicitor General*  
Oklahoma Office of the Attorney General  
313 NE 21<sup>st</sup> Street  
Oklahoma City, OK 73105  
Tel: (405) 522-4392  
Mithun.Mansinghani@oag.ok.gov

*Counsel for the State of Oklahoma*

ALAN WILSON

South Carolina Attorney General  
/s/ Thomas T. Hydrick  
Thomas T. Hydrick  
*Assistant Deputy Solicitor General*  
Office of the Attorney General  
Post Office Box 11549  
Columbia, SC 29211  
(803) 734-4127  
thomashydrick@scag.gov

*Counsel for the State of South Carolina*

JASON R. RAVNSBORG

South Dakota Attorney General  
/s/ David M. McVey  
David M. McVey  
*Assistant Attorney General*  
1302 E. Highway 14, Suite 1  
Pierre, SD 57501-8501  
Phone: (605) 773-3215  
david.mcvey@state.sd.us

*Counsel for the State of South Dakota*

HERBERT H. SLATERY III

Attorney General and Reporter of Tennessee  
/s/ Andree S. Blumstein  
Andree S. Blumstein\*  
*Solicitor General*  
P.O. Box 20207  
Nashville, Tennessee 37202  
(615) 741-3492  
Andree.Blumstein@ag.tn.gov

Kansas Attorney General  
/s/ Shannon Grammel  
Shannon Grammel  
*Deputy Solicitor General*  
Office of the Kansas Attorney General  
120 SW 10th Avenue, 2nd Floor  
Topeka, Kansas 66612  
Phone: (785) 296-2215  
Email: shannon.grammel@ag.ks.gov  
*Counsel for the State of Kansas*

DANIEL CAMERON  
Attorney General  
/s/ Jeremy J. Sylvester  
Jeremy J. Sylvester\*  
*Assistant Attorney General*  
Office of the Attorney General  
700 Capital Avenue, Suite 118  
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601  
Phone: (502) 696-5300  
Jeremy.Sylvester@ky.gov  
*Counsel for the Commonwealth of Kentucky*

LYNN FITCH  
Attorney General of Mississippi  
/s/ Whitney H. Lipscomb  
Whitney H. Lipscomb\*  
*Deputy Attorney General*  
John V. Coghlan\*  
*Deputy Solicitor General*  
State of Mississippi  
Office of the Attorney General  
550 High Street  
Jackson, MS 39201  
Tel: (601) 359-3680  
*Counsel for the State of Mississippi*

ERIC S. SCHMITT  
Attorney General of Missouri  
/s/ D. John Sauer  
D. John Sauer  
*Solicitor General*  
Missouri Attorney General's Office  
Post Office Box 899  
Jefferson City, MO 65102  
Tel: (573) 751-8870  
Fax: (573) 751-0774

*Counsel for the State of Tennessee*

SEAN D. REYES  
Utah Attorney General  
/s/ Melissa A. Holyoak  
Melissa A. Holyoak  
*Solicitor General*  
Utah Attorney General's Office  
350 N. State Street, Suite 230  
P.O. Box 142320  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-2320  
385.271.2484  
melissaholyoak@agutah.gov

*Counsel for the State of Utah*

BRIDGET HILL  
Wyoming Attorney General  
/s/ Ryan Schelhaas  
Ryan Schelhaas\*  
*Chief Deputy Attorney General*  
Wyoming Attorney General's Office  
Attorneys for the State of Wyoming  
109 State Capitol  
Cheyenne, WY 82002  
Telephone: (307) 777-5786  
ryan.schelhaas@wyo.gov  
*Counsel for the State of Wyoming*

PATRICK MORRISEY  
West Virginia Attorney General  
/s/ Lindsay S. See  
Lindsay S. See  
*Solicitor General*  
State Capitol, Bldg 1, Room E-26  
Charleston, WV 25305  
Lindsay.s.see@wvago.gov  
*Counsel for the State of West Virginia*

John.Sauer@ago.mo.gov  
*Counsel for the State of Missouri*

*\*Motion for Pro Hac Vice forthcoming*

# EXHIBIT 1



**From:** [Clendenen, Michael P. \(CIV\)](#)  
**To:** [Murrill, Elizabeth](#)  
**Cc:** [St. John, Joseph](#); [Barbalich, Lauren](#); [Edelman, Christopher \(CIV\)](#); [McMahon, Madeline M. \(CIV\)](#); [Edwards, Jerry \(USALAW\)](#); [Sarah Harbison](#); [Jeffrey Schwab](#); [Daniel Suhr](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Louisiana v. Becerra, 3:21-cv-04370 (W.D. La.)  
**Date:** Monday, April 18, 2022 8:23:43 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

*CAUTION: This email originated outside of Louisiana Department of Justice. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

Good evening,

Defendants oppose both motions.

Respectfully,

**Michael P. Clendenen**

Trial Attorney  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
1100 L Street NW, Room 12028  
Washington, DC 20005  
(P): 202-305-0693  
(C): 202-532-5747  
[michael.p.clendenen@usdoj.gov](mailto:michael.p.clendenen@usdoj.gov)

---

**From:** Murrill, Elizabeth <[MurrillE@ag.louisiana.gov](mailto:MurrillE@ag.louisiana.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 18, 2022 4:40 PM  
**To:** Clendenen, Michael P. (CIV) <[Michael.P.Clendenen@usdoj.gov](mailto:Michael.P.Clendenen@usdoj.gov)>  
**Cc:** St. John, Joseph <[StJohnJ@ag.louisiana.gov](mailto:StJohnJ@ag.louisiana.gov)>; Barbalich, Lauren <[BarbalichL@ag.louisiana.gov](mailto:BarbalichL@ag.louisiana.gov)>; Edelman, Christopher (CIV) <[Christopher.Edelman@usdoj.gov](mailto:Christopher.Edelman@usdoj.gov)>; McMahon, Madeline M. (CIV) <[Madeline.M.McMahon@usdoj.gov](mailto:Madeline.M.McMahon@usdoj.gov)>; Edwards, Jerry (USALAW) <[JEdwards1@usa.doj.gov](mailto:JEdwards1@usa.doj.gov)>; Sarah Harbison <[sarah@pelicaninstitute.org](mailto:sarah@pelicaninstitute.org)>; Jeffrey Schwab <[jschwab@libertyjusticecenter.org](mailto:jschwab@libertyjusticecenter.org)>; Daniel Suhr <[dsuhr@libertyjusticecenter.org](mailto:dsuhr@libertyjusticecenter.org)>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: Louisiana v. Becerra, 3:21-cv-04370 (W.D. La.)

Plaintiff States in the above captioned case intend to file two motions tomorrow.

The first is a motion to compel completion of the record. The lodged administrative record contains significant gaps in two areas. First, the record is devoid of communications with the White House despite obvious Presidential and interagency involvement in the promulgation of the final rule. Second, the record lacks communications between the agency and outside groups such as the National Head Start Association and American Federation of Teachers.

The second is a motion to stay the briefing schedule on Defendants' motion to

dismiss/motion for summary judgment pending resolution of Plaintiff States' motion to complete the record. Plaintiff States' response would be due 14 days after resolution of the record completion motion.

Please let us know the federal government's position on these two motions by noon E.T. tomorrow.

Thanks,

Liz Murrill



Elizabeth B. Murrill  
Solicitor General  
Office of Attorney General Jeff Landry  
Direct: (225) 326-6766 Cell: (225) 456-7544  
[murrille@ag.louisiana.gov](mailto:murrille@ag.louisiana.gov)  
[www.AGJeffLandry.com](http://www.AGJeffLandry.com)

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA  
MONROE DIVISION**

THE STATE OF LOUISIANA,  
By and through its Attorney General, JEFF  
LANDRY; ET AL,

PLAINTIFFS,

v.

XAVIER BECERRA, in his official capacity as  
Secretary of Health and Human Services; et al.,

DEFENDANTS.

CIVIL ACTION No. 3:21-cv-04370-TAD-  
KDM

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

The Court has considered Plaintiff States' Motion to Compel Completion of the Administrative Record. Having determined that the administrative record is **INCOMPLETE**,

**IT IS ORDERED** the Government Defendants shall complete the AR, as directed in the accompanying ruling, within 30 days of this Order.

Signed this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2022

---

**TERRY A. DOUGHTY**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**